## EXHIBIT 1

Exhibit 1

### Tarrant County District Clerk Online Thomas A. Wilder, District Clerk

Civil Case and Transaction Information

02/23/2021 1:34 PM

Court :	141	Case:	323054	Search	New Search	Show Service Documents ONLY
---------	-----	-------	--------	--------	------------	-----------------------------

Cause Number: 141-323054-21 Date Filed: 01-22-2021

KAREN LAEMMLIN | VS | KROGER TEXAS, L.P.

Cause of Action: INJURY OR DAMAGE, PREMISES

Case Status: PENDING File Mark Description

#### Assessed Fee Credit/Paid Fee

01-22-2021	PLTF'S ORIG PET	N	\$289.00	
01-22-2021	PAYMENT RECEIVED trans #1	Y		<u>\$289.00</u>
01-22-2021	<u>CIT-ISSUED ON KROGER TEXAS LP-On</u> <u>01/25/2021</u>	N Svc	\$8.00	
01-22-2021	PAYMENT RECEIVED trans #3	Y		<u>\$8.00</u>
01-22-2021	REQ FOR CIT (EMAIL TO ATTY)-FWD TO DOCPROD	PDF		<u>\$0.00</u>
02-23-2021	DEFNS ORIG ANS	202		<u>\$0.00</u>

## EXHIBIT 2

Exhibit 2



#### **Notice of Service of Process**

null / ALL Transmittal Number: 22708615 Date Processed: 02/04/2021

Primary Contact: Venessa C. Wickline Gribble

The Kroger Co. 1014 Vine Street

Cincinnati, OH 45202-1100

Entity: Kroger Texas L.P.

Entity ID Number 2172000

Entity Served: Kroger Texas LP

Title of Action: Karen Laemmlin vs. Kroger Texas, L.P.

Matter Name/ID: Karen Laemmlin vs. Kroger Texas, L.P. (10923335)

Document(s) Type:Citation/PetitionNature of Action:Personal Injury

Court/Agency: Tarrant County District Court, TX

**Case/Reference No:** 141-323054-21

Jurisdiction Served: Texas

**Date Served on CSC:** 02/03/2021

**Answer or Appearance Due:** 10:00 am Monday next following the expiration of 20 days after service

Originally Served On: CSC

How Served: Certified Mail
Sender Information: Julie Wolf
972-338-4477

Information contained on this transmittal form is for record keeping, notification and forwarding the attached document(s). It does not constitute a legal opinion. The recipient is responsible for interpreting the documents and taking appropriate action.

To avoid potential delay, please do not send your response to CSC

251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, Delaware 19808-1674 (888) 690-2882 | sop@cscglobal.com

### THE STATE OF TEXAS DISTRICT COURT, TARRANT COUNTY

#### **CITATION**

Cause No. 141-323054-21

#### KAREN LAEMMLIN

VS.

#### KROGER TEXAS, L.P.

TO: KROGER TEXAS LP

B/S REG AGENT-CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 211 E 7TH ST STE 620 AUSTIN, TX 78701-

You said DEFENDANT are hereby commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION at or before 10 o'clock A.M. of the Monday next after the expiration of 20 days after the date of service hereof before the 141st District Court, 100 N CALHOUN, in and for Tarrant County, Texas, at the Courthouse in the City of Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas

KAREN LAEMMLIN

said PLAINTIFF being

Filed in said Court on January 22nd, 2021 Against KROGER TEXAS LP

For suit, said suit being numbered 141-323054-21 the nature of which demand is as shown on said PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION a copy of which accompanies this citation.

### JULIE WOLF Attorney for KAREN LAEMMLIN Phone No. (972)338-4477

					Ado	dress	1222	2 MERIT D	R STE I	200 DA	LLAS, TX	75251		
	Thomas	A. Wilder		, Clerk	of the	District	Court	of Tarrant	County,	Texas.	Given unde	er my hand	and the s	seal
of said (	Court,	at office	in the	City of	Fort Wo	orth, th	s the	25th day of	January	, 2021.		OF TARRAD	A CERTIFIED COPY	
								Natali	Thia		-		THOMAS A. WILDER	R
•						B	·	Marcu					DISTRICT CLERK  RRANGE SOUNTY, TO  RY: /s/ Natalie Thioper	
							1	(	NATALIE	THIGPE	N	**********	1.13/ 11212/10 11113/01	

NOTICE: You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this cliation by 10:00 AM. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this cliation and pelition, a default judgment may be taken against you. In addition to filing a written answer with the clerk, you may be required to make initial disclosures to the other parties of this suit. These disclosures generally must be made no later than 30 days after you file your answer with the clerk. Find out more at TexasLawHelp.org.

Thomas A. Wilder, Tarrant County District Clerk, 100 N CALHOUN, FORT WORTH TX 76196-0402

Received thi	s Citation on the	-	ETURN *141323			M: and	executed at
	day of						
	, a true copy of this						
	st endorsed on same th						
	Authorized Person/Cons	stable/Sheriff:					
	Authorized Person/Cons	<del></del>					Deputy
	County of	St	ate ofBy			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Deputy
Fees \$	County of	St.	ate ofBy			•	
Pees \$	County of	St.	ate of By	t be verified i	f served ou	utside the	State of Texas
Fees \$ State of Signed and s	County of	St.	ate of By	t be verified i	f served ou	utside the	State of Texas
Fees \$ State of Signed and s	County of County of worn to by the said	St.	ate of By	t be verified i	f served ou	utside the	State of Texas

#### **CITATION**

Cause No. 141-323054-21

KAREN LAEMMLIN

VS.

KROGER TEXAS, L.P.

**ISSUED** 

This 25th day of January, 2021

Thomas A. Wilder
Tarrant County District Clerk
100 N CALHOUN
FORT WORTH TX 76196-0402

By NATALIE THIGPEN Deputy

JULIE WOLF

Attorney for: KAREN LAEMMLIN

Phone No. (972)338-4477

ADDRESS: 12222 MERIT DR STE 1200

**DALLAS, TX 75251** 

CIVIL LAW



\*14132305421000003\*
SERVICE FEES NOT COLLECTED
BY TARRANT COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK
ORIGINAL



444 000054 04

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 1/22/2021 11:53 AM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

	CAUSE NO	THOMAS A. WIL DISTRICT CI
KAREN LAEMMLIN, Plaintiff,	§ 8	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
v.	\$ \$ \$	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
KROGER TEXAS, L.P. Defendant.	\$ \$ \$	TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS
<del></del>		

#### PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

#### TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, Karen Laemmlin, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), who files this Plaintiff's Original Petition against Kroger Texas, L.P. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant"), and respectfully shows this Court as follows:

#### I. TEXAS RULE 47 DAMAGES STATEMENT

Plaintiff prefers to have a judge or a jury determine the fair amount of compensation for Plaintiff's damages, and Plaintiff places the decision regarding the amount of compensation to be awarded in the jury or judge's hands. However, pursuant to Rule 47 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff is required to provide a statement regarding the amount of monetary relief sought. Accordingly, Plaintiff states that the monetary relief sought is \$250,000.00 to \$1,000,000.00.

Plaintiff submits this action under Discovery Control Plan II, per Rule 190.3 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

#### II. PARTIES AND SERVICE

Plaintiff is a resident of Tarrant County, Texas.

Defendant Kroger Texas, L.P. (hereinafter referred to as "Kroger") is a company doing

business in the State of Texas. Defendant may be served by serving its registered agent Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC-Lawyers Incorporated located at 211 E. 7<sup>th</sup> Street Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701. **Issuance of citation is requested at this time.** 

#### III. JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction as Plaintiff's damages exceed the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant as Defendant performed work, and/or hired personnel to perform work in the State of Texas.

#### IV. VENUE

Venue is proper in Tarrant County, Texas, pursuant to 15.002 (3) of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, because the events giving rise the cause of action occurred in Tarrant, County.

#### V. FACTS

At all times relevant, Defendant was the possessor in control of the Kroger Store located at 3015 Bowen in Arlington, Texas. (hereinafter referred to as the "premises").

On or about February 22, 2019, Plaintiff was entering the Kroger store, when she was hit by grocery carts that were being pushed by a Kroger employee.

#### VI. NEGLIGENCE OF DEFENDANT

Defendant was the owner, operator, or in control of Kroger store located in Arlington, Texas. Kroger's employee was acting in the course and scope of employment for Defendant Kroger. Plaintiff was in invitee at the time of the injury because she was a customer at the Kroger store. Since the business was open to the general public, Defendant extended an invitation to Plaintiff to shop at the Kroger store.

Plaintiff would show the Court that in the context of a negligent activity case, Defendant,

through its employee, was conducting an ongoing activity on the premises, wherein Plaintiff was injured. Specifically, Defendant, through its employee, ran into Plaintiff with grocery shopping carts.

Defendant had a duty to use ordinary care to protect Plaintiff from unreasonably dangerous ongoing activities and Defendant breached that duty when it actively hit Plaintiff with shopping carts. Defendant's actions caused the Plaintiff's injury and resulting damages. As a direct and proximate result of these and other acts and omissions, whether taken singularly or in any combination, Plaintiff was injured when Defendant negligently breached its duties to Plaintiff. Said breach resulted in the Plaintiff sustaining damages more fully described below.

#### VII. DAMAGES

As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff suffered severe and disabling injuries, mental and physical functioning, and disfigurement, for which Plaintiff required extensive medical treatment and has incurred medical bills. Plaintiff also suffered severe physical and mental pain, suffering, physical and mental impairment, disfigurement, depression, physical and mental disability, anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life.

In all reasonable probability, Plaintiff will continue to suffer in this manner for a long time into the future, if not for the balance of her life. Solely as a result of the injuries she sustained due to Defendant's conduct and/or omissions, Plaintiff has incurred doctors', and medical expenses. There is a more than reasonable probability that Plaintiff will incur additional expenses for necessary medical care and attention in the future for the injuries she incurred in the incident in sums unknown at this time, and other damages which Plaintiff has incurred due to Defendant's conduct.

#### VIII. NOTICE OF INTENT

Plaintiff hereby gives notice of intent to utilize any and all items produced in discovery in the trial of this matter and the authenticity of such items is self-proven per the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 193.7.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays that Defendant be cited to appear and answer in this cause and that, upon final trial, Plaintiff be awarded a final judgment against Defendant for the following:

- a. All reasonable and necessary past medical expenses;
- b. A sum for future medical expenses and treatment;
- c. Monetary damages for past physical pain and suffering and mental anguish in an amount to be established at trial;
- d. Monetary damages for future physical pain and suffering and mental anguish;
- e. Past and future physical impairment as determined by a jury;
- f. Past and future disfigurement;
- g. Costs of Court;
- h. Pre-judgment interest on all damages awarded at the highest legal rate;
- i. Post-judgment interest on all sums awarded herein at the highest legal rate until paid; and
- j. Such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled at law or in equity, specific or general.

Respectfully submitted,

Wolf Law, PLLC

Julie Wolf

Texas Bar No. 24051542 julie@wolflawpllc.com

12222 Merit Dr., Suite 1200

Dallas, Texas 75251

Tel. (972) 338-4477

Fax. (972) 338-5044

Attorney for Plaintiff

Case 4:21-cv-00181-P Document 1-2

**115** PageID 16





LEGAL DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT 5930 LBJ FREEWAY SUITE 307

DALLAS, TEXAS 75240

CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 211 E. 7th STREET., #620 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701

<u> Կիրիսբիկիիիիիիի իրկարիներին իր</u>

# EXHIBIT 3

Exhibit 3

#### Case 4:21-cv-00181-P Document 1-2 Filed 02/23/21 Page 13 of 15 PageID 18

141-323054-21

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 2/23/2021 11:37 AM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

#### CAUSE NO. 141-323054-21

KAREN LAEMMLIN,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	141st DISTRICT COURT
	§	
KROGER TEXAS, L.P.	§	
Defendant.	§	TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS

#### **DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER**

Defendant Kroger Texas L.P. ("Defendant") files its Original Answer, and, in support thereof, would respectfully show the Court as follows:

#### I. GENERAL DENIAL

Defendant denies each and every, all and singular, the material allegations contained in Plaintiff's Original Petition, demands strict proof thereof and, to the extent that such matters are questions of fact, says Plaintiff should prove such facts by a preponderance of the evidence to a jury if she can so do.

#### II. DEFENSES

- 1. To the extent that Plaintiff failed to use that degree of care and caution as would have been used by a reasonable person under the same or similar circumstances, thereby producing or proximately causing or contributing to Plaintiff's damages, if any, such acts or omissions of Plaintiff were the sole proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages, if any.
- 2. To the extent that Plaintiff's damages or injuries, if any, were caused by the acts or omissions of third persons not under the control of Defendant, such acts or omissions of said

third persons were the sole and/or a producing and/or a proximate cause of Plaintiff's alleged damages or injuries, if any.

- 3. To the extent that Plaintiff's alleged damages, if any, are the result of pre-existing or subsequent injuries, accidents or conditions, said pre-existing or subsequent injuries, accidents or conditions were the sole and/or a contributing cause of Plaintiff's alleged damages.
- 4. Plaintiff may have breached her duty to mitigate damages by failing to exercise reasonable care and diligence to avoid loss and minimize the consequences of damages.
- 5. To the extent that Plaintiff is malingering and exaggerating the nature and severity of her injuries in order to continue treatment and/or inflate damages, said treatment is not medically necessary or reasonable.
- 6. Defendant contends that any claims for medical or health care expenses incurred is limited to the amount actually paid or incurred by or on behalf of Plaintiff, pursuant to Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § 41.0105.
- 7. Defendant is entitled to a credit and/or offset against any judgment that may be rendered against it for any and all amounts paid to or on behalf of Plaintiff, under any of Defendant's benefit/insurance programs, including, but not limited to, the Kroger Texas Occupational Injury or Disease Benefits Plan.
- 8. Defendant contends that, pursuant to § 18.091 of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code, to the extent Plaintiff is seeking a recovery for loss of earnings, lost wages, loss of earning capacity and/or loss of contributions of pecuniary value, evidence of this alleged loss must be presented by Plaintiff in the form of a net loss after reduction for income tax payments, or unpaid tax liability to any federal income tax law.

- 9. Defendant states that, in the unlikely event an adverse judgment would be rendered against it in this matter, Defendant would respectfully pray for contribution, indemnity and/or all available credits as provided for in the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code and under Texas law.
- 10. Defendant further asserts the limitations on exemplary damages outlined in Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Chapter 41.

#### III. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant Kroger Texas L.P. respectfully prays that Plaintiff take nothing by this cause of action and that Defendant be permitted to recover the costs expended on its behalf. Defendant also prays for all other and further relief, both general and special, at law and in equity, to which it shows itself to be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jack Ormond

**B.** Kyle Briscoe

State Bar No. 24069421

kbriscoe@peavlerbriscoe.com

**Jack Ormond** 

State Bar No. 24037217

jormond@peavlerbriscoe.com

PEAVLER | BRISCOE

2215 Westgate Plaza

Grapevine, Texas 76051

214-999-0550 (telephone)

214-999-0551 (fax)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that this document was served on counsel of record pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure on February 23, 2021 using e-file.

<u>/s/ Jack Ormond</u> Jack Ormond